Part 2: A Posteriori Error Estimation & Adaptive Stochastic Galerkin Finite Element Approximation

Catherine E. Powell

University of Manchester

catherine.powell@manchester.ac.uk

September 27, 2022



#### **9** Parametric PDE: Find $u : D \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$-\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \nabla \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})) = f(\mathbf{x}), \qquad \mathbf{x} \in D \subset \mathbb{R}^{2,3}, \quad \mathbf{y} \in \Gamma.$$

**2** Weak Problem: Find  $u \in V := L^2_{\pi}(\Gamma, H^1_0(D))$  satisfying

$$\int_{\Gamma} \left( \int_{D} a \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, d\mathbf{x} \right) \, d\pi(\mathbf{y}) = \int_{\Gamma} \left( \int_{D} f \, v \, d\mathbf{x} \right) \, d\pi(\mathbf{y}) \qquad \forall v \in V,$$

where  $\pi$  is a **probability measure**.

**9 Parametric PDE:** Find  $u : D \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

 $-\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \nabla u(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})) = f(\mathbf{x}), \qquad \mathbf{x} \in D \subset \mathbb{R}^{2,3}, \quad \mathbf{y} \in \Gamma.$ 

**2** Weak Problem: Find  $u \in V := L^2_{\pi}(\Gamma, H^1_0(D))$  satisfying

$$A(u,v) = \ell(v), \qquad \forall v \in V$$

where  $A(\cdot, \cdot)$  is an **inner product** that induces an **energy norm**  $\|\cdot\|_A$ .

#### **9** Parametric PDE: Find $u : D \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$-\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\nabla u(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})) = f(\mathbf{x}), \qquad \mathbf{x} \in D \subset \mathbb{R}^{2,3}, \quad \mathbf{y} \in \Gamma.$$

**Q** Galerkin Approximation: Find  $u_X \in X \subset V$  satisfying:

$$A(u_X, v) = \ell(v), \qquad \forall v \in X$$

where  $A(\cdot, \cdot)$  is an **inner product** that induces an **energy norm**  $\|\cdot\|_A$ .

#### **9** Parametric PDE: Find $u : D \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$-\nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \nabla \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})) = f(\boldsymbol{x}), \qquad \boldsymbol{x} \in D \subset \mathbb{R}^{2,3}, \quad \boldsymbol{y} \in \boldsymbol{\Gamma}.$$

**3** Galerkin Approximation: Find  $u_X \in X \subset V$  satisfying:

$$A(u_X, v) = \ell(v), \qquad \forall v \in X$$

where  $A(\cdot, \cdot)$  is an **inner product** that induces an **energy norm**  $\|\cdot\|_{A}$ .

**3** Solve Linear System: Au = f.

#### **9** Parametric PDE: Find $u : D \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$-\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \nabla u(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})) = f(\mathbf{x}), \qquad \mathbf{x} \in D \subset \mathbb{R}^{2,3}, \quad \mathbf{y} \in \Gamma.$$

**Q** Galerkin Approximation: Find  $u_X \in X \subset V$  satisfying:

$$A(u_X, v) = \ell(v), \qquad \forall v \in X$$

where  $A(\cdot, \cdot)$  is an **inner product** that induces an **energy norm**  $\|\cdot\|_{A}$ .

Solve Linear System: Au = f.

**Solution** Error Equations: The error  $e := u - u_X \in V$  satisfies:

$$A(e,v) = \underbrace{\ell(v) - A(u_X, v)}_{\text{residual } R(v)} \qquad \forall v \in V.$$

 $\Box$  Ideally: Choose SGFEM space X so that  $||e||_A \leq TOL$ .

#### Adaptive SGFEM

- ▷ Start with a **low-dimensional** space X and computes  $\hat{u}_0 \in X$ .
- ▷ Estimate the (energy) error using only a posterior information

$$\eta \approx \|u - \widehat{u}_0\|_A = \mathbb{E}\left[\|a^{1/2}\nabla(u - \widehat{u}_0)\|_{L^2(D)}^2\right]^{1/2}$$

- ▷ Decide how best to **enrich** *X* if  $\eta$  > *TOL*.
- $\triangleright$  Compute a sequence of approximations  $\hat{u}_0, \ldots, \hat{u}_L$  until

 $\eta \leq TOL.$ 

#### Adaptive SGFEM

- ▷ Start with a **low-dimensional** space X and computes  $\hat{u}_0 \in X$ .
- ▷ Estimate the (energy) error using only a posterior information

$$\eta \approx \|u - \widehat{u}_0\|_A = \mathbb{E}\left[\|a^{1/2}\nabla(u - \widehat{u}_0)\|_{L^2(D)}^2\right]^{1/2}$$

- $\triangleright$  Decide how best to **enrich** *X* if  $\eta > TOL$ .
- $\triangleright$  Compute a sequence of approximations  $\hat{u}_0, \ldots, \hat{u}_L$  until

 $\eta \leq TOL.$ 

We use a strategy called **'Hierarchical Error Estimation'** and work with approximation spaces with the so-called **multilevel** structure:

$$X:=\bigoplus_{\alpha\in J_P}H_1^{\alpha}\otimes P^{\alpha}.$$

□ **Hierarchical** a posteriori error estimation is an old idea!

- Bank + Weiser, Math. Comp., (1985). Bank + Smith, SINUM, 1993.
- Ainsworth + Oden. Wiley, 2000.

□ **Hierarchical** a posteriori error estimation is an old idea!

- Bank + Weiser, Math. Comp., (1985). Bank + Smith, SINUM, 1993.
- Ainsworth + Oden. Wiley, 2000.

□ Alternative 'residual-based' error estimation schemes available.

• Gittleson, Schwab, Eigel, Zander, Merdon, ....

□ **Hierarchical** a posteriori error estimation is an old idea!

- Bank + Weiser, Math. Comp., (1985). Bank + Smith, SINUM, 1993.
- Ainsworth + Oden. Wiley, 2000.

□ Alternative 'residual-based' error estimation schemes available.

- Gittleson, Schwab, Eigel, Zander, Merdon, ....
- Early works on **multilevel** SGFEM, e.g.,
  - Gittelson. Math. Comp., 82(283), 2013.
  - Eigel, Gittelson, Schwab, Zander. CMAME, 270, 2014.

☐ **Hierarchical** a posteriori error estimation is an old idea!

- Bank + Weiser, Math. Comp., (1985). Bank + Smith, SINUM, 1993.
- Ainsworth + Oden. Wiley, 2000.

□ Alternative 'residual-based' error estimation schemes available.

- Gittleson, Schwab, Eigel, Zander, Merdon, ....
- Early works on multilevel SGFEM, e.g.,
  - Gittelson. Math. Comp., 82(283), 2013.
  - Eigel, Gittelson, Schwab, Zander. CMAME, 270, 2014.

□ A priori results + convergence rates for multilevel SGFEM, e.g.

• Cohen, DeVore, Schwab. Analytic regularity and polynomial approx. of parametric and stochastic elliptic PDE's. Anal. Appl., 9(1), 2011.

## Background + Collaborators

□ Worked presented here based on PhD work of **<u>Adam Crowder</u>**:

- PhD thesis, University of Manchester, 2020.
- Efficient adaptive multilevel SG approx. using implicit a posteriori error estimation. Crowder, Powell, Bespalov, **SISC. 41(3)**, 2019.

### Background + Collaborators

□ Worked presented here based on PhD work of **<u>Adam Crowder</u>**:

- PhD thesis, University of Manchester, 2020.
- Efficient adaptive multilevel SG approx. using implicit a posteriori error estimation. Crowder, Powell, Bespalov, **SISC. 41(3)**, 2019.
- □ ML-SGFEM software further developed by George Papanikos.

https://github.com/ceapowell/ML-SGFEM

# Background + Collaborators

□ Worked presented here based on PhD work of **<u>Adam Crowder</u>**:

- PhD thesis, University of Manchester, 2020.
- Efficient adaptive multilevel SG approx. using implicit a posteriori error estimation. Crowder, Powell, Bespalov, **SISC. 41(3)**, 2019.
- □ ML-SGFEM software further developed by George Papanikos.

https://github.com/ceapowell/ML-SGFEM

 $\Box$  EPSRC-funded project started  $\approx$  10 years ago in Manchester with **David Silvester** and **Alex Bespalov** 

- Energy norm a posteriori error estimation for parametric operator equations, Bespalov, Powell, Silvester, **SISC. 36(2)**, 2014.
- S-IFISS MATLAB Toolbox:

http://www.manchester.ac.uk/ifiss/sifiss

$$X := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in J_P} H_1^{\alpha} \otimes P^{\alpha}$$

$$X := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in J_P} H_1^{\alpha} \otimes P^{\alpha}$$

 $\Box$  J<sub>P</sub> is a **finite set** of **finitely supported** sequences:

$$J_{P} \subset J := \big\{ \boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots) \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \# \operatorname{supp} \boldsymbol{\alpha} < \infty \big\}.$$

$$X := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in J_P} H_1^{\alpha} \otimes P^{\alpha}$$

 $\Box$   $J_P$  is a finite set of finitely supported sequences:

$$J_P \subset J := \big\{ \boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \ \# \operatorname{supp} \boldsymbol{\alpha} < \infty \big\}.$$

 $\Box$  Orthogonal Polynomials:  $P^{\alpha} := \operatorname{span} \{\psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{y})\} \subset L^{2}_{\pi}(\Gamma)$  where

$$\psi_{oldsymbol lpha}(oldsymbol y) = \prod_{m=1}^\infty \psi_{lpha_m}(oldsymbol y_m), \qquad \psi_i ext{ has degree } i ext{ and } \psi_0 = 1.$$

$$X := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in J_P} H_1^{\alpha} \otimes P^{\alpha}$$

 $\Box$   $J_P$  is a finite set of finitely supported sequences:

$$J_P \subset J := \{ \boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \# \operatorname{supp} \boldsymbol{\alpha} < \infty \}.$$

 $\Box$  Orthogonal Polynomials:  $P^{\alpha} := \operatorname{span} \{\psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{y})\} \subset L^{2}_{\pi}(\Gamma)$  where

$$\psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{y}) = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \psi_{\alpha_m}(\mathbf{y}_m), \qquad \psi_i \text{ has degree } i \text{ and } \psi_0 = 1.$$

 $\Box \text{ FEM Spaces: } H_1^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} := \operatorname{span} \{ \phi_i^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\boldsymbol{x}), i = 1, \dots, n_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \} \subset H_0^1(D).$ 

$$X := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in J_P} H_1^{\alpha} \otimes P^{\alpha}$$

 $\Box$   $J_P$  is a **finite set** of **finitely supported** sequences:

$$J_P \subset J := \{ \boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \# \operatorname{supp} \boldsymbol{\alpha} < \infty \}.$$

 $\Box$  Orthogonal Polynomials:  $P^{\alpha} := \operatorname{span} \{\psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{y})\} \subset L^{2}_{\pi}(\Gamma)$  where

$$\psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{y}) = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \psi_{\alpha_m}(\mathbf{y}_m), \qquad \psi_i \text{ has degree } i \text{ and } \psi_0 = 1.$$

 $\Box \text{ FEM Spaces: } H_1^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} := \operatorname{span} \{ \phi_i^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\boldsymbol{x}), i = 1, \dots, n_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \} \subset H_0^1(D).$ 

**Special case:** If  $H_1^{\alpha} = H_1$  for all  $\alpha \in J_P$  then

 $X := H_1 \otimes P$ , where  $P := \operatorname{span} \{ \psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{y}), \alpha \in J_P \}$ .

## Polynomial-based Surrogate

$$u_X(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{\alpha \in J_P} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\alpha}} u_{i,\alpha} \phi_i^{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{\alpha \in J_P} \underbrace{u_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})}_{\in H_1^{\alpha}} \psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{y}).$$

#### Test Problem: 8 spatial modes $u_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})$



For  $u_X \in X \subset V$ , we know  $e := u - u_X \in V$  satisfies:

$$A(e, v) = R(v) \qquad \forall v \in V.$$

**(**) Consider  $e_W \in W \supset X$  such that:

$$A(e_W, v) = R(v), \qquad \forall v \in W.$$

For  $u_X \in X \subset V$ , we know  $e := u - u_X \in V$  satisfies:

$$A(e, v) = R(v) \qquad \forall v \in V.$$

• Consider  $e_W \in W \supset X$  such that:

$$A(e_W, v) = R(v), \qquad \forall v \in W.$$

Choose

$$W = X \oplus \underbrace{Y}_{\text{'detail'}}, \qquad X \cap Y = \{0\}$$

and define error estimate  $\eta := \|e_Y\|_A$  where

$$e_Y \in Y$$
:  $A(e_Y, v) = R(v), \quad \forall v \in Y.$ 

For  $u_X \in X \subset V$ , we know  $e := u - u_X \in V$  satisfies:

$$A(e, v) = R(v) \qquad \forall v \in V.$$

• Consider  $e_W \in W \supset X$  such that:

$$A(e_W, v) = R(v), \qquad \forall v \in W.$$

Choose

$$W = X \oplus \underbrace{Y}_{\text{'detail'}}, \qquad X \cap Y = \{0\}$$

and define error estimate  $\eta := \|e_Y\|_A$  where

$$e_Y \in Y$$
:  $A(e_Y, v) = R(v)$ ,  $\forall v \in Y$ .

Alternatively: If  $A_0(\cdot, \cdot)$  is another inner product such that  $\lambda \|v\|_A^2 \le \|v\|_{A_0}^2 \le \Lambda \|v\|_A^2 \qquad \forall v \in V,$ 

For  $u_X \in X \subset V$ , we know  $e := u - u_X \in V$  satisfies:

$$A(e, v) = R(v) \qquad \forall v \in V.$$

• Consider  $e_W \in W \supset X$  such that:

$$A(e_W, v) = R(v), \qquad \forall v \in W.$$

Choose

$$W = X \oplus \underbrace{Y}_{\text{'detail'}}, \qquad X \cap Y = \{0\}$$

and define error estimate  $\eta := \|e_Y\|_A$  where

$$e_Y \in Y$$
:  $A(e_Y, v) = R(v), \quad \forall v \in Y.$ 

**Alternatively:** If  $A_0(\cdot, \cdot)$  is another inner product such that

$$\lambda \|\mathbf{v}\|_{A}^{2} \leq \|\mathbf{v}\|_{A_{0}}^{2} \leq \Lambda \|\mathbf{v}\|_{A}^{2} \qquad \forall \, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V},$$

define  $\eta := \|e_Y\|_{A_0}$  where

$$e_Y \in Y$$
:  $A_0(e_Y, v) = R(v), \quad \forall v \in Y.$ 

If we can choose the **detail space** Y so that

**3** Saturation Assumption:  $\exists \beta \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$\|u-u_W\|_A \leq \beta \|u-u_X\|_A$$

**2** CBS Inequality:  $\exists \gamma \in [0,1)$  such that

 $|A_0(u,v)| \leq \gamma \, \|u\|_{A_0} \|v\|_{A_0}, \qquad \forall u \in X, \, \forall v \in Y$ 

then, one can prove that  $\eta = \|e_Y\|_{A_0}$  satisfies:

If we can choose the **detail space** Y so that

**3** Saturation Assumption:  $\exists \beta \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$\|u-u_W\|_A \leq \beta \|u-u_X\|_A$$

**2** CBS Inequality:  $\exists \gamma \in [0,1)$  such that

 $|A_0(u,v)| \leq \gamma ||u||_{A_0} ||v||_{A_0}, \qquad \forall u \in X, \, \forall v \in Y$ 

then, one can prove that  $\eta = \|e_Y\|_{A_0}$  satisfies:

$$\sqrt{\lambda} \eta \leq \|u - u_X\|_A \leq \frac{\sqrt{\Lambda}}{\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}\sqrt{1 - \gamma^2}} \eta.$$

If we can choose the **detail space** Y so that

**3** Saturation Assumption:  $\exists \beta \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$\|u-u_W\|_A \leq \beta \|u-u_X\|_A$$

**2** CBS Inequality:  $\exists \gamma \in [0,1)$  such that

 $|A_0(u,v)| \leq \gamma \|u\|_{A_0} \|v\|_{A_0}, \qquad \forall u \in X, \, \forall v \in Y$ 

then, one can prove that  $\eta = \|e_Y\|_{A_0}$  satisfies:

$$\sqrt{\lambda} \eta \leq \|u - u_X\|_A \leq \frac{\sqrt{\Lambda}}{\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}\sqrt{1 - \gamma^2}} \eta.$$

Constants depend on the **choice of detail space** Y and  $A_0(\cdot, \cdot)$ .

If we can choose the **detail space** Y so that

**3** Saturation Assumption:  $\exists \beta \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$\|u-u_W\|_A \leq \beta \|u-u_X\|_A$$

**2** CBS Inequality:  $\exists \gamma \in [0,1)$  such that

 $|A_0(u,v)| \leq \gamma \|u\|_{A_0} \|v\|_{A_0}, \qquad \forall u \in X, \, \forall v \in Y$ 

then, one can prove that  $\eta = \|e_Y\|_{A_0}$  satisfies:

$$\sqrt{\lambda} \eta \leq \|u - u_X\|_A \leq \frac{\sqrt{\Lambda}}{\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}\sqrt{1 - \gamma^2}} \eta.$$

 $\Box$  Constants depend on the **choice of detail space** Y and  $A_0(\cdot, \cdot)$ .

 $\Box$  Here, choose  $A_0(\cdot, \cdot)$  to be inner product associated with  $a_0(\mathbf{x})$ .

# Detail Space (1)

$$X = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in J_P} H_1^{\alpha} \otimes P^{\alpha}$$

• For each  $\alpha \in J_P$  choose  $H_2^{\alpha}$  (new FEM space) such that

 $H_1^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \cap H_2^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \{0\}.$ 

# Detail Space (1)

$$X = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in J_P} H_1^{\alpha} \otimes P^{\alpha}$$

• For each  $\alpha \in J_P$  choose  $H_2^{\alpha}$  (new FEM space) such that

 $H_1^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \cap H_2^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \{0\}.$ 

• Choose  $J_Q$  (new set of multi-indices) with

 $J_P \cap J_Q = \emptyset$ 

and for each  $\beta \in J_Q$  define  $Q^{\beta} := \operatorname{span} \{\psi_{\beta}(\mathbf{y})\}$ .

# Detail Space (1)

$$X = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in J_P} H_1^{\alpha} \otimes P^{\alpha}$$

• For each  $\alpha \in J_P$  choose  $H_2^{\alpha}$  (new FEM space) such that

 $H_1^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \cap H_2^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \{0\}.$ 

• Choose  $J_Q$  (new set of multi-indices) with

 $J_P \cap J_Q = \emptyset$ 

and for each  $\beta \in J_Q$  define  $Q^{\beta} := \operatorname{span} \{ \psi_{\beta}(\mathbf{y}) \}$ .

Define detail space:

$$Y = \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in J_{P}} H_{2}^{\alpha} \otimes P^{\alpha}\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in J_{Q}} H \otimes Q^{\beta}\right) := Y_{1} \oplus Y_{2}$$

where *H* is <u>one</u> of the **FEM** spaces  $H_1^{\alpha}$ .

# Detail Space (2)

Re-write detail space as

$$Y = \left(\bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in J_{\mathcal{P}}} Y_{1,\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in J_{\mathcal{Q}}} Y_{2,\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right)$$

where

$$Y_{1,\alpha} = H_2^{\alpha} \otimes P^{\alpha}, \qquad Y_{2,\beta} = H \otimes Q^{\beta}.$$

# Detail Space (2)

Re-write detail space as

$$Y = \left( igoplus_{oldsymbol{lpha} \in J_P} Y_{1,oldsymbol{lpha}} 
ight) \oplus \left( igoplus_{oldsymbol{eta} \in J_Q} Y_{2,oldsymbol{eta}} 
ight)$$

where

$$Y_{1,\alpha} = H_2^{\alpha} \otimes P^{\alpha}, \qquad Y_{2,\beta} = H \otimes Q^{\beta}.$$

Our choice of Y and  $A_0(\cdot, \cdot)$  ensures the estimator can be **decomposed:** 

# Detail Space (2)

Re-write detail space as

$$Y = \left(\bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in J_{\mathcal{P}}} Y_{1,\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in J_{\mathcal{Q}}} Y_{2,\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right)$$

where

$$Y_{1,\alpha} = H_2^{\alpha} \otimes P^{\alpha}, \qquad Y_{2,\beta} = H \otimes Q^{\beta}.$$

Our choice of Y and  $A_0(\cdot, \cdot)$  ensures the estimator can be **decomposed:** 

$$\eta := \| e_{Y} \|_{A_{0}} = \left( \sum_{\alpha \in J_{P}} \| e_{Y_{1,\alpha}} \|_{A_{0}}^{2} + \sum_{\beta \in J_{Q}} \| e_{Y_{2,\beta}} \|_{A_{0}}^{2} \right)^{1/2},$$

where the components satisfy

$$\begin{array}{rcl} e_{\mathsf{Y}_{1,\boldsymbol{\alpha}}} \in Y_{1,\boldsymbol{\alpha}}: & A_0(e_{\mathsf{Y}_{1,\boldsymbol{\alpha}}},v) & = & R(v), & \forall \, v \in Y_{1,\boldsymbol{\alpha}}, \\ e_{\mathsf{Y}_{2,\boldsymbol{\beta}}} \in Y_{2,\boldsymbol{\beta}}: & A_0(e_{\mathsf{Y}_{2,\boldsymbol{\beta}}},v) & = & R(v), & \forall \, v \in Y_{2,\boldsymbol{\beta}}. \end{array}$$

# How to Choose $H_2^{\alpha}$ ?

CBS constant  $\gamma := \max_{\alpha \in J_P} \{\gamma_{\alpha}\}$  where  $\gamma_{\alpha}$  depends only on  $H_2^{\alpha}$  and  $H_1^{\alpha}$ .


## How to Choose $H_2^{\alpha}$ ?

CBS constant  $\gamma := \max_{\alpha \in J_P} \{\gamma_\alpha\}$  where  $\gamma_\alpha$  depends only on  $H_2^{\alpha}$  and  $H_1^{\alpha}$ .



**Example:** Construct  $H_2^{\alpha}$  locally using 'bubble' functions associated with ( $\circ$ ).

$H_1^{oldsymbol{lpha}}$	$H_2^{\boldsymbol{lpha}}$	$\gamma^2_{\alpha}$	$\sqrt{1-\gamma_{oldsymbol{lpha}}^2}$
$\mathbb{Q}_1(h_{oldsymbol{lpha}})$	$\mathbb{Q}_2(h_{oldsymbol{lpha}})$	0.4545	0.7385
$\mathbb{Q}_1(h_{oldsymbol{lpha}})$	$\mathbb{Q}_1(h_{oldsymbol{lpha}}/2)$	0.3750	0.7905
$\mathbb{Q}_1(h_{oldsymbol{lpha}})$	$\mathbb{Q}_2(h_{\alpha}/2)$	0.0446	0.9774
$\mathbb{Q}_1(h_{oldsymbol{lpha}})$	$\mathbb{Q}_4(h_{oldsymbol{lpha}})$	0.0121	0.9939

$$X = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in J_{\mathcal{P}}} H_{1}^{\alpha} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{\alpha}, \qquad Y = \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in J_{\mathcal{P}}} H_{2}^{\alpha} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{\alpha}\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in J_{Q}} \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{Q}^{\beta}\right)$$

$$X = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in J_{\mathcal{P}}} H_{1}^{\alpha} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{\alpha}, \qquad Y = \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in J_{\mathcal{P}}} H_{2}^{\alpha} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{\alpha}\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in J_{Q}} \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{Q}^{\beta}\right)$$

To get an **accurate** error estimate, need to choose Y so that

$$\sqrt{1-\beta^2}\sqrt{1-\gamma^2} \approx 1$$

where

$$\|u-u_W\|_A \leq \beta \|u-u_X\|_A, \qquad u_W \in W := X \oplus Y.$$

$$X = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in J_{\mathcal{P}}} H_{1}^{\alpha} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{\alpha}, \qquad Y = \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in J_{\mathcal{P}}} H_{2}^{\alpha} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{\alpha}\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in J_{Q}} \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{Q}^{\beta}\right)$$

To get an **accurate** error estimate, need to choose Y so that

$$\sqrt{1-\beta^2}\sqrt{1-\gamma^2} \approx 1$$

where

$$\|u-u_W\|_A \leq \beta \|u-u_X\|_A, \qquad u_W \in W := X \oplus Y.$$

□ We choose

$$J_Q = \{ \boldsymbol{\beta} \in J \setminus J_P, \, \boldsymbol{\beta} = \boldsymbol{\alpha} \pm \mathbf{e}^m, \boldsymbol{\beta} \in J_P, \, m = 1, \dots, M_P + \Delta_M \}$$

where  $M_P$  is # highest parameter activated in  $J_P$ .

$$X = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in J_{\mathcal{P}}} H_{1}^{\alpha} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{\alpha}, \qquad Y = \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in J_{\mathcal{P}}} H_{2}^{\alpha} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{\alpha}\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in J_{Q}} \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{Q}^{\beta}\right)$$

To get an **accurate** error estimate, need to choose Y so that

$$\sqrt{1-\beta^2}\sqrt{1-\gamma^2}\approx 1$$

where

$$\|u-u_W\|_A \leq \beta \|u-u_X\|_A, \qquad u_W \in W := X \oplus Y.$$

We choose

$$J_{Q} = \{\beta \in J \setminus J_{P}, \beta = \alpha \pm \mathbf{e}^{m}, \beta \in J_{P}, m = 1, \dots, M_{P} + \Delta_{M}\}$$

where  $M_P$  is # highest parameter activated in  $J_P$ .

 $\Box \Delta_M$  needs to be **larger** for problems where  $||a_m||_{\infty}$  decays **slowly**.

#### **Decoupled Error Reduction Indicators**

Define the spaces

$$W_1 := X \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{lpha} \in \overline{J_P}} Y_{1, \boldsymbol{lpha}} \right), \qquad W_2 := X \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{eta} \in \overline{J_Q}} Y_{2, \boldsymbol{eta}} \right)$$

where  $\overline{J_P} \subset J_P$  and  $\overline{J_Q} \subset J_Q$  and consider the Galerkin approximations:

 $\triangleright$   $u_{W_1} \in W_1$  (spatial refinement),  $u_{W_2} \in W_2$  (parametric enrichment).

#### **Decoupled Error Reduction Indicators**

Define the spaces

$$W_1 := X \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \overline{J_{\rho}}} Y_{1,\alpha} \right), \qquad W_2 := X \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{\beta \in \overline{J_Q}} Y_{2,\beta} \right)$$

where  $\overline{J_P} \subset J_P$  and  $\overline{J_Q} \subset J_Q$  and consider the Galerkin approximations:

 $\triangleright$   $u_{W_1} \in W_1$  (spatial refinement),  $u_{W_2} \in W_2$  (parametric enrichment).

Define the error estimates

$$\eta_1 := \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \overline{J_P}} \|\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{Y}_{1,\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}\|_{A_0}^2, \qquad \eta_2 := \sum_{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \overline{J_Q}} \|\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{Y}_{2,\boldsymbol{\beta}}}\|_{A_0}^2.$$

Then one can prove that

### **Decoupled Error Reduction Indicators**

Define the spaces

$$W_1 := X \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \overline{J_{\rho}}} Y_{1,\alpha} \right), \qquad W_2 := X \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{\beta \in \overline{J_Q}} Y_{2,\beta} \right)$$

where  $\overline{J_P} \subset J_P$  and  $\overline{J_Q} \subset J_Q$  and consider the Galerkin approximations:

 $\triangleright$   $u_{W_1} \in W_1$  (spatial refinement),  $u_{W_2} \in W_2$  (parametric enrichment).

Define the error estimates

$$\eta_1 := \sum_{\alpha \in \overline{J_P}} \|e_{Y_{1,\alpha}}\|_{A_0}^2, \qquad \eta_2 := \sum_{\beta \in \overline{J_Q}} \|e_{Y_{2,\beta}}\|_{A_0}^2.$$

Then one can prove that

$$\lambda \eta_1 \leq \|u_{W_1} - u_X\|_E^2 \leq \frac{\Lambda}{1 - \gamma^2} \eta_1$$

$$\lambda \eta_2 \leq \|u_{W_2} - u_X\|_E^2 \leq \Lambda \eta_2.$$

This allows us to do adaptivity!

- $\Box$  INITIALIZE:  $J_P$  and  $\{H_1^{\alpha}, \forall \alpha \in J_P\}$
- □ **SOLVE:** Find  $u_X \in X$

- □ INITIALIZE:  $J_P$  and  $\{H_1^{\alpha}, \forall \alpha \in J_P\}$
- **SOLVE:** Find  $u_X \in X$
- $\Box$  **DETAIL SPACE:** Choose *H*,  $J_Q$  (i.e,  $\Delta_M$ ) and  $\{H_2^{\alpha}, \forall \alpha \in J_P\}$

- □ INITIALIZE:  $J_P$  and  $\{H_1^{\alpha}, \forall \alpha \in J_P\}$
- **SOLVE:** Find  $u_X \in X$
- $\Box$  **DETAIL SPACE:** Choose H,  $J_Q$  (i.e,  $\Delta_M$ ) and  $\{H_2^{\alpha}, \forall \alpha \in J_P\}$
- **COMPUTE ERROR COMPONENTS:**

 $\{\|e_{Y_{1,\alpha}}\|_{A_0}, \, \alpha \in J_P\}, \qquad \{\|e_{Y_{2,\beta}}\|_{A_0}, \, \beta \in J_Q\}$ 

□ ENERGY ERROR ESTIMATE:

$$\eta = \left( \sum_{\alpha \in J_{P}} \| e_{Y_{1,\alpha}} \|_{A_{0}}^{2} + \sum_{\beta \in J_{Q}} \| e_{Y_{2,\beta}} \|_{A_{0}}^{2} \right)^{1/2}$$

- □ INITIALIZE:  $J_P$  and  $\{H_1^{\alpha}, \forall \alpha \in J_P\}$
- **SOLVE:** Find  $u_X \in X$
- $\Box$  **DETAIL SPACE:** Choose *H*,  $J_Q$  (i.e,  $\Delta_M$ ) and  $\{H_2^{\alpha}, \forall \alpha \in J_P\}$
- **COMPUTE ERROR COMPONENTS:**

 $\{\|\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{Y}_{1,\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}\|_{\boldsymbol{A}_{0}},\,\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in J_{\boldsymbol{P}}\},\qquad \{\|\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{Y}_{2,\boldsymbol{\beta}}}\|_{\boldsymbol{A}_{0}},\,\boldsymbol{\beta}\in J_{\boldsymbol{Q}}\}$ 

ENERGY ERROR ESTIMATE:

$$\eta = \left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in J_{\mathcal{P}}} \|\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{Y}_{1,\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}\|_{A_0}^2 + \sum_{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in J_Q} \|\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{Y}_{2,\boldsymbol{\beta}}}\|_{A_0}^2\right)^{1/2}$$

 $\Box$  IF  $\eta \leq TOL$  STOP;

ELSE

Compute ESTIMATED ERROR REDUCTION RATIOS:

$$\left\{\frac{\|\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{Y}_{1,\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}\|_{A_0}^2}{\dim(\boldsymbol{Y}_{1,\boldsymbol{\alpha}})},\,\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in J_{\boldsymbol{P}}\right\},$$

$$\left\{\frac{\|\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{Y}_{2,\boldsymbol{\beta}}}\|_{\boldsymbol{A}_{0}}^{2}}{\dim(\boldsymbol{Y}_{2,\boldsymbol{\beta}})},\,\boldsymbol{\beta}\in J_{\boldsymbol{Q}}\right\}$$

□ **IDENTIFY** 'important' subsets

$$\overline{J_P} \subset J_P, \qquad \overline{J_Q} \subset J_Q$$

□ ERROR REDUCTION INDICATORS

$$\eta_1 := \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \overline{J_P}} \|\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{Y}_{1,\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}\|_{A_0}^2, \qquad \eta_2 := \sum_{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \overline{J_Q}} \|\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{Y}_{2,\boldsymbol{\beta}}}\|_{A_0}^2.$$

□ **IDENTIFY** 'important' subsets

$$\overline{J_P} \subset J_P, \qquad \overline{J_Q} \subset J_Q$$

□ ERROR REDUCTION INDICATORS

$$\eta_1 := \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \overline{J_P}} \|\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{Y}_{1,\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}\|_{A_0}^2, \qquad \eta_2 := \sum_{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \overline{J_Q}} \|\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{Y}_{2,\boldsymbol{\beta}}}\|_{A_0}^2$$

DECIDE whether to do SPATIAL or PARAMETRIC enrichment

IDENTIFY 'important' subsets

$$\overline{J_P} \subset J_P, \qquad \overline{J_Q} \subset J_Q$$

ERROR REDUCTION INDICATORS

$$\eta_1 := \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \overline{J_P}} \|\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{Y}_{1,\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}\|_{A_0}^2, \qquad \eta_2 := \sum_{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \overline{J_Q}} \|\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{Y}_{2,\boldsymbol{\beta}}}\|_{A_0}^2.$$

DECIDE whether to do SPATIAL or PARAMETRIC enrichment

#### **IF SPATIAL**

- Freeze J<sub>P</sub>
- improve  $H_1^{\alpha}$  for  $\alpha \in \overline{J_P}$

□ **IDENTIFY** 'important' subsets

$$\overline{J_P} \subset J_P, \qquad \overline{J_Q} \subset J_Q$$

#### ERROR REDUCTION INDICATORS

$$\eta_1 := \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \overline{J_P}} \|\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{Y}_{1,\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}\|_{A_0}^2, \qquad \eta_2 := \sum_{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \overline{J_Q}} \|\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{Y}_{2,\boldsymbol{\beta}}}\|_{A_0}^2.$$

DECIDE whether to do SPATIAL or PARAMETRIC enrichment

#### **IF SPATIAL**

- Freeze J<sub>P</sub>
- improve  $H_1^{\alpha}$  for  $\alpha \in \overline{J_P}$

#### **IF PARAMETRIC**

- Freeze  $H_1^{\boldsymbol{lpha}}$  for  ${\boldsymbol{lpha}}\in J_P$
- initialize H for new  $\alpha \in \overline{J_Q}$
- $J_P \leftarrow J_P \cup \overline{J_Q};$

#### END

 $D = [0, 1]^2$ , f = 1,  $a(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m(\mathbf{x}) y_m$  with  $y_m \sim U(-1, 1)$  and  $a_m(\mathbf{x}) := 0.547 m^{-2} \cos(2\pi \beta_m^1 x_1) \cos(2\pi \beta_m^2 x_2)$ 

$$D = [0,1]^2$$
,  $f = 1$ ,  $a(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m(\mathbf{x}) y_m$  with  $y_m \sim U(-1,1)$  and  
 $a_m(\mathbf{x}) := 0.547 m^{-2} \cos(2\pi \beta_m^1 x_1) \cos(2\pi \beta_m^2 x_2)$ 

$$X := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in J_P} H_1^{\alpha} \otimes P^{\alpha}$$

▷ **INITIALIZE:**  $J_P = \{\mathbf{0}, (1, 0, ..., 0)\}$  and  $H_1^{\alpha} = \mathbb{Q}_1(h)$  on uniform mesh, with  $h = 2^{-4}$  ('level' 4).

 $D = [0, 1]^2$ , f = 1,  $a(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m(\mathbf{x}) y_m$  with  $y_m \sim U(-1, 1)$  and  $a_m(\mathbf{x}) := 0.547 m^{-2} \cos(2\pi \beta_m^1 x_1) \cos(2\pi \beta_m^2 x_2)$ 

$$X := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in J_P} H_1^{\alpha} \otimes P^{\alpha}$$

- ▷ INITIALIZE:  $J_P = \{0, (1, 0, ..., 0)\}$  and  $H_1^{\alpha} = \mathbb{Q}_1(h)$  on uniform mesh, with  $h = 2^{-4}$  ('level' 4).
- ▷ **DETAIL SPACE:** Choose  $H_2^{\alpha} = \mathbb{Q}_2(h)$  and  $\Delta_M = 5$ .
- ▷ **ERROR ESTIMATION:** Compute  $\eta \approx ||u u_X||_A$ . If  $\eta \leq TOL$ , then **STOP**. Otherwise,
  - Improve  $H_1^{\alpha}$  (e.g. refine the mesh) for one or more  $\alpha \in J_P$ , **OR**
  - Add new multi-indices  $\beta$  to  $J_P$  (and initialize  $H^{\beta}$ ).

 $D = [0, 1]^2$ , f = 1,  $a(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m(\mathbf{x}) y_m$  with  $y_m \sim U(-1, 1)$  and  $a_m(\mathbf{x}) := 0.547 m^{-2} \cos(2\pi \beta_m^1 x_1) \cos(2\pi \beta_m^2 x_2)$ 

$$X := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in J_P} H_1^{\alpha} \otimes P^{\alpha}$$

- ▷ INITIALIZE:  $J_P = \{0, (1, 0, ..., 0)\}$  and  $H_1^{\alpha} = \mathbb{Q}_1(h)$  on uniform mesh, with  $h = 2^{-4}$  ('level' 4).
- ▷ **DETAIL SPACE:** Choose  $H_2^{\alpha} = \mathbb{Q}_2(h)$  and  $\Delta_M = 5$ .
- ▷ **ERROR ESTIMATION:** Compute  $\eta \approx ||u u_X||_A$ . If  $\eta \leq TOL$ , then **STOP**. Otherwise,
  - Improve  $H_1^{\alpha}$  (e.g. refine the mesh) for one or more  $\alpha \in J_P$ , **OR**
  - Add new multi-indices  $\beta$  to  $J_P$  (and initialize  $H^{\beta}$ ).
- $\triangleright$  Choose TOL = 1.5e-3.

 $D = [0, 1]^2$ , f = 1,  $a(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m(\mathbf{x}) y_m$  with  $y_m \sim U(-1, 1)$  and  $a_m(\mathbf{x}) := 0.547 m^{-2} \cos(2\pi \beta_m^1 x_1) \cos(2\pi \beta_m^2 x_2)$ 

$$X := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in J_P} H_1^{\alpha} \otimes P^{\alpha}$$

- ▷ INITIALIZE:  $J_P = \{0, (1, 0, ..., 0)\}$  and  $H_1^{\alpha} = \mathbb{Q}_1(h)$  on uniform mesh, with  $h = 2^{-4}$  ('level' 4).
- ▷ **DETAIL SPACE:** Choose  $H_2^{\alpha} = \mathbb{Q}_2(h)$  and  $\Delta_M = 5$ .
- ▷ **ERROR ESTIMATION:** Compute  $\eta \approx ||u u_X||_A$ . If  $\eta \leq TOL$ , then **STOP**. Otherwise,
  - Improve  $H_1^{\alpha}$  (e.g. refine the mesh) for one or more  $\alpha \in J_P$ , **OR**
  - Add new multi-indices  $\beta$  to  $J_P$  (and initialize  $H^{\beta}$ ).
- $\triangleright$  Choose TOL = 1.5e-3.
- $\triangleright$  Target convergence rate:  $N_{\rm dof}^{-1/2}$ .

Eigel, Gittelson, Schwab, Zander. Adaptive stochastic Galerkin FEM. CMAME (2014).

## Example 1: Final Mean & Variance



Time = 20.90 seconds. Total iterations = 26. No. parametric polynomials = 36 No. activated variables = 14. Total DOF = 104,452.

# Example 1: Final Approximation Space



At the final step  $X := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in J_P} H_1^{\alpha} \otimes P^{\alpha}$ 

- $J_P$  contains 36 multi-indices, (M = 14 activated parameters)
- $H_1^{\alpha} = \mathbb{Q}_1(h)$  with  $h = 2^{-8}, 2^{-7}, 2^{-6}, 2^{-5}, 2^{-4}$  (1,1,3,6,25 terms)

### Example 1: Convergence & Accuracy



#### Example 2: Separable Exponential Covariance



Poorer convergence rate and error estimator is less accurate.

Example 2: Separable Exponential Covariance



At the final step  $X := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in J_P} H_1^{\alpha} \otimes P^{\alpha}$ 

- $J_P$  contains 223 multi-indices, (M = 103 activated parameters)
- $H_1^{\alpha} = \mathbb{Q}_1(h)$  with  $h = 2^{-8}, 2^{-6}, 2^{-5}, 2^{-4}$  (1,5,69,148 terms)

#### Test Problem: exponential decay case

$$D = [0,1]^2, f(\mathbf{x}) = 1, y_m \sim U(-1,1)$$
 and  
 $a(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m(\mathbf{x}) y_m \qquad ||a_m(\mathbf{x})||_{\infty} \sim e^{-m}.$ 

- ▷ INITIALIZE:  $J_P = \{\mathbf{0}, (1, 0, ..., 0)\}$  and  $H_1^{\alpha} = \mathbb{Q}_2(h)$  on uniform mesh with  $h = 2^{-4}$  (level 4).
- ▷ **DETAIL SPACE:** Choose  $H_2^{\alpha} = \mathbb{Q}_4(h)$  and  $\Delta_M = 2$ .
- $\triangleright$  Choose TOL = 1e-4.
- $\triangleright$  Target convergence rate:  $N_{dof}^{-1}$ .

Lord, Powell, Shardlow. Introduction to Computational Stochastic PDEs, CUP, 2014.

### Example 3: Final Mean & Variance



Time = 26.80 seconds. Total iterations = 27 No. parametric polynomials = 150 No. activated variables = 13 Total DOF = 68,246

#### Example 3: Convergence & Accuracy



# Summary: Adaptive ML-SGFEM for Scalar Elliptic PDEs

- □ If you are prepared to exploit **structure**, SG methods can be used to build **surrogates** with automated and **rigorous error control**.
- □ For 'nice enough' problems, **multilevel** SG methods can achieve **convergence rates** associated with the chosen spatial discretization for the analogous **deterministic** problem.
- $\Box$  Accurate a posteriori **error estimation** is key for driving adaptive algorithms and designing X in a smart way.
- Classical hierarchical<sup>1</sup> error estimation can be modified for use in the parametric PDE setting.

MATLAB code:

https://github.com/ceapowell/ML-SGFEM

<sup>1</sup>Bank & Weiser, Bank & Smith, Ainsworth & Oden

## Linear Elasticity + Uncertain Young Modulus

Herrmann model for linear elasticity for nearly incompressible materials.

$$-\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} (\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{f}, \qquad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} + \lambda^{-1} \boldsymbol{\rho} = \mathbf{0}$$

where the stress + strain tensors are

$$\sigma(\mathbf{u}) := 2\mu \, \epsilon(\mathbf{u}) - \rho \mathbf{I}, \quad \epsilon(\mathbf{u}) := (\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^{\top})/2$$

+ the Lamé coefficients  $\lambda, \mu$  are:

$$\mu(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{E(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})}{2(1+\nu)}, \quad \lambda(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{E(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})\nu}{(1+\nu)(1-2\nu)}$$

- **Solution fields**: **u**, *p* (displacement, pressure)
- $\Box$  Uncertain input: Young modulus  $E(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := e_0(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e_m(\mathbf{x}) y_m$
- $\Box$  Physical parameters:  $\nu \in (0, 1/2)$  (Poisson ratio)

# Error Estimation: Single-Level Setting

Denote the stochastic Galerkin approximation

 $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{gal}} \in \widehat{\mathbf{V}} := \mathbf{V}_h \otimes \mathcal{P}, \qquad p_{\mathrm{gal}}, \ \widetilde{p}_{\mathrm{gal}} \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}} := \mathbf{W}_h \otimes \mathcal{P}.$ 

#### Error equations

Substituting  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_{gal} + e^{\mathbf{u}}$ ,  $p = p_{gal} + e^{p}$  and  $\tilde{p} = \tilde{p}_{gal} + e^{\tilde{p}}$  gives:

$$egin{aligned} &a(e^{\mathbf{u}},\mathbf{v})+b(\mathbf{v},e^{p})=\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{v}) &orall \mathbf{v}\in\mathbf{V}, \ &b(e^{\mathbf{u}},q)-c(e^{ ilde{p}},q)=\mathcal{R}^{p}(q) &orall q\in W, \ &-c(e^{p}, ilde{q})+d(e^{ ilde{p}}, ilde{q})=\mathcal{R}^{ ilde{p}}( ilde{q}) &orall ilde{q}\in W, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{v})$ ,  $\mathcal{R}^{p}(q)$  and  $\mathcal{R}^{\tilde{p}}(\tilde{q})$  are the residuals.

 $\triangleright$  Approximate  $e^{\mathsf{u}} \in \mathsf{V}$ ,  $e^{p}, e^{\tilde{p}} \in W$  in <u>richer</u> spaces than  $\widehat{\mathsf{V}}$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}$ .

### Hierarchical Approach: Single-Level Setting

 $\triangleright$  Choose FEM detail spaces  $\widetilde{\mathbf{V}}_h \subset \mathbf{H}_0^1(D), \ \widetilde{W}_h \subset L^2(D)$  with

$$\mathbf{V}_h \cap \widetilde{\mathbf{V}}_h = \{\mathbf{0}\}, \qquad W_h \cap \widetilde{W}_h = \{\mathbf{0}\},$$

such that the enriched spaces are an inf-sup stable pair:

$$\mathbf{V}_h^* := \mathbf{V}_h \oplus \widetilde{\mathbf{V}}_h$$
 and  $W_h^* := W_h \oplus \widetilde{W}_h$ .

### Hierarchical Approach: Single-Level Setting

 $\triangleright$  Choose FEM detail spaces  $\widetilde{\mathbf{V}}_h \subset \mathbf{H}_0^1(D), \ \widetilde{W}_h \subset L^2(D)$  with

$$\mathbf{V}_h \cap \widetilde{\mathbf{V}}_h = \{\mathbf{0}\}, \qquad W_h \cap \widetilde{W}_h = \{\mathbf{0}\},$$

such that the enriched spaces are an inf-sup stable pair:

$$\mathbf{V}_h^* := \mathbf{V}_h \oplus \widetilde{\mathbf{V}}_h$$
 and  $W_h^* := W_h \oplus \widetilde{W}_h$ .

 $\triangleright$  Choose a new set of multi-indices to obtain a polynomial space Q with

$$\mathcal{P}\cap \mathcal{Q}=\{0\}.$$

### Hierarchical Approach: Single-Level Setting

▷ Choose FEM detail spaces  $\widetilde{\mathbf{V}}_h \subset \mathbf{H}_0^1(D)$ ,  $\widetilde{W}_h \subset L^2(D)$  with

$$\mathbf{V}_h \cap \widetilde{\mathbf{V}}_h = \{\mathbf{0}\}, \qquad W_h \cap \widetilde{W}_h = \{\mathbf{0}\},$$

such that the enriched spaces are an inf-sup stable pair:

$$\mathbf{V}_h^* := \mathbf{V}_h \oplus \widetilde{\mathbf{V}}_h$$
 and  $W_h^* := W_h \oplus \widetilde{W}_h$ .

 $\triangleright$  Choose a new set of multi-indices to obtain a polynomial space Q with

$$\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{Q} = \{0\}.$$

▷ 'Enriched' error approximation spaces:

$$\mathbf{V}^* := \widehat{\mathbf{V}} \oplus \left( \widetilde{\mathbf{V}}_h \otimes \mathcal{P} \right) \oplus (\mathbf{V}_h \otimes \mathcal{Q}),$$
  
 $W^* := \widehat{W} \oplus \left( \widetilde{W}_h \otimes \mathcal{P} \right) \oplus (W_h \otimes \mathcal{Q}).$ 

Reference: Khan, Bespalov, Powell., Silvester, Math. Comp., 90(328), (2020).

# Error Estimation: Single-Level Setting

Define detail spaces:

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{new}} = \left(\widetilde{\mathbf{V}}_h \otimes \mathcal{P}\right) \oplus (\mathbf{V}_h \otimes \mathcal{Q}), \quad \mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{new}} = \left(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_h \otimes \mathcal{P}\right) \oplus (\mathcal{W}_h \otimes \mathcal{Q}).$$

#### Solve Simplified Error Equations

Find  $\mathbf{e}_{\text{approx}}^{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathbf{V}_{\text{new}}$ ,  $e_{\text{approx}}^{p} \in W_{\text{new}}$  and  $e_{\text{approx}}^{\tilde{p}} \in W_{\text{new}}$  such that:  $a_{0}(\mathbf{e}_{\text{approx}}^{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{v}) := \mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{v}), \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}_{\text{new}}$   $c_{0}(e_{\text{approx}}^{p}, q) := \mathcal{R}^{p}(q), \quad \forall q \in W_{\text{new}},$  $d_{0}(e_{\text{approx}}^{\tilde{p}}, \tilde{q}) := \mathcal{R}^{\tilde{p}}(\tilde{q}), \quad \forall \tilde{q} \in W_{\text{new}}.$
Define detail spaces:

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{new}} = \left(\widetilde{\mathbf{V}}_h \otimes \mathcal{P}\right) \oplus (\mathbf{V}_h \otimes \mathcal{Q}), \quad \mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{new}} = \left(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_h \otimes \mathcal{P}\right) \oplus (\mathcal{W}_h \otimes \mathcal{Q}).$$

#### Solve Simplified Error Equations

Find  $\mathbf{e}_{\text{approx}}^{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathbf{V}_{\text{new}}$ ,  $e_{\text{approx}}^{p} \in W_{\text{new}}$  and  $e_{\text{approx}}^{\tilde{p}} \in W_{\text{new}}$  such that:  $a_{0}(\mathbf{e}_{\text{approx}}^{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{v}) := \mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{v}), \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}_{\text{new}}$   $c_{0}(e_{\text{approx}}^{p}, q) := \mathcal{R}^{p}(q), \quad \forall q \in W_{\text{new}},$  $d_{0}(e_{\text{approx}}^{\tilde{p}}, \tilde{q}) := \mathcal{R}^{\tilde{p}}(\tilde{q}), \quad \forall \tilde{q} \in W_{\text{new}}.$ 

A posteriori error estimate is defined as

$$\eta := (\eta_{\mathbf{u}}^2 + \eta_{p}^2 + \eta_{\tilde{p}}^2)^{1/2},$$

where  $\eta_{\mathbf{u}} := \|\mathbf{e}_{\operatorname{approx}}^{\mathbf{u}}\|_{a_0}, \quad \eta_{p} := \|e_{\operatorname{approx}}^{p}\|_{c_0}, \quad \eta_{\tilde{p}} := \|e_{\operatorname{approx}}^{\tilde{p}}\|_{d_0}.$ 

$$\eta := (\eta_{\mathbf{u}}^2 + \eta_{\rho}^2 + \eta_{\tilde{\rho}}^2)^{1/2}.$$

Two-sided error bounds

$$C_1 \eta \leq |||(e^{\mathbf{u}}, e^{p}, e^{\tilde{p}})||| \leq C_2 \eta,$$

where  $C_1, C_2$  are **independent** of the **discretization** parameters and  $\nu$ .

$$\eta := (\eta_{\mathbf{u}}^2 + \eta_{\rho}^2 + \eta_{\tilde{\rho}}^2)^{1/2}.$$

Two-sided error bounds

$$C_1 \eta \leq |||(e^{\mathbf{u}}, e^{p}, e^{\tilde{p}})||| \leq C_2 \eta,$$

where  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  are **independent** of the **discretization** parameters and  $\nu$ .

Each component of the estimator can be decomposed, e.g.,

$$\eta_{\mathbf{u}}^2 = \|\mathbf{e}_{\text{approx}}^{\mathbf{u}}\|_{\mathbf{a}_0}^2 = \|\mathbf{e}_{\text{spatial}}^{\mathbf{u}}\|_{\mathbf{a}_0}^2 + \|\mathbf{e}_{\text{param}}^{\mathbf{u}}\|_{\mathbf{a}_0}^2$$

where

$$\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{u}}_{\text{spatial}} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{V}}_h \otimes \mathcal{P}, \qquad \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{u}}_{\text{param}} \in \mathbf{V}_h \otimes \mathcal{Q}.$$

$$\eta := (\eta_{\mathbf{u}}^2 + \eta_{\rho}^2 + \eta_{\tilde{\rho}}^2)^{1/2}.$$

Two-sided error bounds

$$C_1 \eta \leq |||(e^{u}, e^{p}, e^{\tilde{p}})||| \leq C_2 \eta,$$

where  $C_1, C_2$  are **independent** of the **discretization** parameters and  $\nu$ .

Each component of the estimator can be decomposed, e.g.,

$$\eta_{\mathbf{u}}^2 = \|\mathbf{e}_{\text{approx}}^{\mathbf{u}}\|_{\mathbf{a}_0}^2 = \|\mathbf{e}_{\text{spatial}}^{\mathbf{u}}\|_{\mathbf{a}_0}^2 + \|\mathbf{e}_{\text{param}}^{\mathbf{u}}\|_{\mathbf{a}_0}^2$$

where

$$\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathrm{spatial}} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{V}}_h \otimes \mathcal{P}, \qquad \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathrm{param}} \in \mathbf{V}_h \otimes \mathcal{Q}.$$

Can use separate contributions as indicators for the **error reduction** that would be achieved by enriching either (i)  $V_{h}$ - $W_{h}$  or (ii)  $\mathcal{P}$  at the next step.

Reference: Khan, Bespalov, Powell., Silvester, Math. Comp., 90(328), (2020).

### Clamped Plate Problem - Revisited

$$E(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m(\mathbf{x}) y_m, \qquad \|a_m(\mathbf{x})\|_{\infty} \sim m^{-2}, \quad y_m \sim U(-1,1).$$

#### Horizontal Displacement (Mean, Variance)



#### Pressure (Mean, Variance)



### Adaptive: Poisson ratio $\nu = 0.4$

#### Initial discretization:

 $\triangleright$  **V**<sub>*h*</sub>-*W*<sub>*h*</sub> = **P**<sub>2</sub>-*P*<sub>1</sub> on coarse uniform mesh.

 $\rhd \mathcal{P} = \operatorname{span} \{ \psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{y}), \alpha \in J_P \}, \text{ with } J_P = \{ (0, 0, \ldots, ), (1, 0, \ldots) \}.$ 

### Adaptive: Poisson ratio $\nu = 0.4$

#### Initial discretization:

 $\triangleright$  **V**<sub>h</sub>-W<sub>h</sub> = **P**<sub>2</sub>-P<sub>1</sub> on coarse uniform mesh.

 $\triangleright \mathcal{P} = \operatorname{span} \{ \psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{y}), \alpha \in J_P \}, \text{ with } J_P = \{ (0, 0, \dots, ), (1, 0, \dots) \}.$ 



## Improved Pressure Approximation ( $\nu = 0.4$ )



Mean (left) and variance (right).

- Convergence rates for sparse chaos approximations of elliptic problems with stochastic coefficients, R. A. Todor and C. Schwab, IMA J. Numer. Anal., 27(2), pp.232–261, 2007.
- □ Sparse high order FEM for elliptic sPDEs, M. Bieri and **C. Schwab**. Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg., 198, pp.1149–1170, 2009.
- Sparse tensor discretization of elliptic SPDEs, M. Bieri, R. Andreev, and C. Schwab. SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 31(6), pp.4281–4304, 2009.
- Convergence rates of best N-term Galerkin approximations for a class of elliptic sPDEs, A. Cohen, R. DeVore, and C. Schwab. Found. Comput. Math., 10(6), pp.61–646, 2010.

### References: A Priori Analysis

- Sparse tensor discretizations of high-dimensional parametric and stochastic PDEs, C. Schwab and C. J. Gittelson, Acta Numer., 20 (2011), pp. 291–467.
- Analytic regularity and polynomial approximation of parametric and stochastic elliptic PDEs, A. Cohen, R. DeVore, and C. Schwab, Anal. Appl. (Singap.), 9(1):11–47, 2011.
- On the optimal polynomial approximation of stochastic PDEs by Galerkin and collocation methods, J. Beck, R. Tempone, F. Nobile, and L. Tamellini. Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci., 22(9), 2012.
- Fully discrete approximation of parametric and stochastic elliptic PDEs, M. Bachmayr, A. Cohen, D. Dung, and C. Schwab, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 55(5):2151?2186, 2017.

### References: A Posteriori Error Estimation

- Adaptive stochastic Galerkin FEM, M. Eigel, C.J, Gittelson, C. Schwab, E. Zander, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 270, 2014, pp.247–269.
- □ A convergent adaptive stochastic Galerkin finite element method with quasi-optimal spatial meshes, **M. Eigel**, C.J, Gittelson, **C. Schwab**, E. Zander, ESAIM: M2AN, 49 (5), 2015, pp.1367 –1398.
- Local equilibration error estimators for guaranteed error control in adaptive stochastic higher-order Galerkin finite element methods, M. Eigel and C. Merdon. SIAM/ASA J. Uncertain. Quantif., 4(1):1372–1397, 2016.
- Energy norm a posteriori error estimation for parametric operator equations, A. Bespalov, C.E. Powell, D. Silvester, SIAM Journal Sci. Comp. 36(2), A339–A363 (2014),

### References: A Posteriori Error Estimation

- Efficient adaptive stochastic Galerkin methods for parametric operator equations, A. Bespalov, D. Silvester SIAM Journal Sci. Comp, 38(4), 2016, pp. A2118-A2140.
- CBS Constants and Their Role in Error Estimation for Stochastic Galerkin Finite Element Methods, A. Crowder, C.E. Powell, J. Sci., Comput., 77(2), 2018, pp.1030–1054.
- Efficient Adaptive Multilevel Stochastic Galerkin Approximation Using Implicit A Posteriori Error Estimation A. Crowder, C.E. Powell, A. Bespalov, SIAM, J. Sci., Comput., 41(3), 2019, A1681–A1705.
- Convergence and Rate Optimality of Adaptive Stochastic Galerkin FEM, A. Bespalov, D. Praetorius, M. Ruggeri, IMA J. Numer. Anal., 42(3), 2022, pp.2190–2213.

## Locally Adapted Meshes

#### **IF SPATIAL**

- Freeze J<sub>P</sub>
- improve  $H_1^{\alpha}$  for  $\alpha \in \overline{J_P}$



Adam Crowder. Adaptive & Multilevel Stochastic Galerkin Finite Element Approximation. PhD Thesis, University of Manchester, (2020).