

## The eigenvalues

- determines how a physical object behaves under external influence.
- depend on the structure and material of the object.

## State of the Art

- Standard methods are not accurate enough: the minimization of an energy depending on the displacement variable leads to **locking** for nearly incompressible materials
- Usual remedies cannot lead to guaranteed accuracy: usually involves stabilization parameter that needs to be tuned and obstructs robust, guaranteed error estimates
- Current stress-based approach is robust and guaranteed but too costly
  - main challenge: the symmetric gradient is difficult to impose at the discrete level.
  - The conforming discretization of those stresses (Arnold-Winther approach) sophisticated and increasing computational cost enormously.



- ✓ given a tolerance, the true error is less and greater than the tolerance
- ✓ no dependence on model parameter in the error bounds.
- ✓ moderate computational cost, discretisation elements present in commercial software.
- ✓ constants in error bounds only depend on local quantities allowing for adaptive strategies.

## Mathematical Framework

Stress-based approaches seek for a stress-tensor  $\sigma$ , displacements  $\mathbf{u}$  and an eigenvalue  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfying a **generalized eigenvalue problem** of the form

$$A(\sigma, \mathbf{u}) = \omega M(\sigma, \mathbf{u}) \quad (\text{EVP})$$

involving linear operators A and M defined on some vector space V. The analysis of such an eigenvalue problem is closely related to the corresponding **source problem**, a boundary value problem

$$A(\sigma, \mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{f} \quad (\text{BVP})$$

## Scientific Challenge

the solution operator T is defined such that  $T(\mathbf{f})$  is the solution  $(\sigma, \mathbf{u})$  of (BVP) with right-hand side  $\mathbf{f}$ .



Three major challenges occurs:

- **Lack of compactness:**
  - Stress-based methods lead to high accuracy of the stress  $\sigma$ ,
    - allows for the momentum conservation  $\text{div } \sigma$
    - T cannot be compact.
  - Remedy: restrict solution operator to a part of the solution excluding  $\sigma$ .
- **Lack of conformity:** due to the weakly imposed symmetry condition.
  - Remedy: treat the saddle-point formulation as a non-conforming method.
  - Use Least-Squares and dPG methods to estimate the non-conforming part.
- **Lack of accuracy:**
  - Finite element spaces have to obey the compatibility condition
  - The trial space for the displacements does not usually lead to sufficient accuracy.

Remedy: recent supercloseness results

## References

[1] D. N. Arnold and R. Winther. *Mixed finite elements for elasticity*, Numer. Math. 92, (2002), pp. 401–419.  
 [2] J. Gedicke and A. Khan. *Arnold-Winther mixed finite elements for Stokes eigenvalue problems*, SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 40.5 (2018)  
 [3] F. Bertrand, Z. Cai, and E. Y. Park. *Least-squares methods for elasticity and Stokes equations with weakly imposed symmetry*, Comput. Methods Appl. Math. 19.3 (2019)  
 [4] D. Boffi. *Finite element approximation of eigenvalue problems*, Acta Numer. 19 (2010)  
 [5] F. Bertrand and D. Boffi. *First order least squares formulations for eigenvalue problems*, IMA J. Numer. Anal. (2021)