KU LEUVEN kulak



Automated non-destructive testing and evaluation

Steven Vandekerckhove

KU Leuven Kulak

WSC Spring Meeting, May 13th 2016, Utrecht



Non-destructive testing and evaluation



- 1. Material properties
- 2. Defect existence
- 3. Defect properties
- 4. Object lifetime





Motivation

Inverse problems

Wave speed

Inverse scatterin

Overview

Non-destructive testing and evaluation

Inverse problems

Automatic determination of wave speeds

Inverse scattering problems

Summary



Inverse problem formulation

$$\min_{\vec{u}} \vec{J} \left(\vec{q} \left(\vec{u} \right), \vec{u} \right), \qquad \text{(Objective)}$$

where

$$\vec{J} = \frac{1}{2} \left\| q_i^*(\boldsymbol{R}, t) - q_i(\boldsymbol{R}, t) \right\|^2 + lpha \mathcal{R},$$

such that

 $ec{c} = ec{ec{q}} +
abla \cdot ec{m{F}} \left(ec{q}
ight) - ec{f} = 0.$ (Forward model)



Motivation

Inverse problems

Wave speed

Inverse scatterin

Solving inverse problems

Using gradient information

$$\vec{u}^* = \min_{\vec{u}} \vec{J}(\vec{u}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nabla \vec{J}(\vec{u}^*) = 0 \quad (\text{FONC})$$
Newton (type) iterations:
$$\nabla \vec{J} (\vec{u}^*) = 0 \approx \nabla \vec{J} (\vec{u}_k) + \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left(\nabla \vec{J} (\vec{u}_k) \right) \vec{p}_k,$$

$$\vec{p}_k = - \left(\nabla^2 \vec{J} (\vec{u}_k) \right)^{-1} \nabla \vec{J} (\vec{u}_k) .$$

KU LEUVEN kulak

Motivation

Inverse problems

Wave speed

Inverse scattering

Solving inverse problems

Gradient computation



where J is the objective, c is the PDE constraint, u is the control and q are the PDE solutions



Motivation

Inverse problems

Wave speed

Classical approach vs. AD

Classical Discrete forward equations human effort Discrete adjoint equations Manual implementation Manual implementation Adjoint code

Automatic / algorithmic differentiation



Classical approach vs. Libadjoint

Classical



Libadjoint



9/14

Automatic calibration of wave speeds Problem set-up





Motivation

Inverse problem

Wave speed

Inverse scatterin

10/14

Automatic calibration of wave speeds

$$\min_{c_i,c_t} \frac{1}{2} \left\| q_i^*(R,t) - q_i(R,t) \right\|^2$$



Inverse scattering

Problem statement





Motivation

Inverse problen

Wave speed

Inverse scattering

12/14

Inverse scattering

Inverse scattering: pitfalls and outlook

Bad stability of the problem



Mesh independent optimisation

\mathbf{S}						D							R
--------------	--	--	--	--	--	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

Function space for the control

\mathbf{S}								D		R
--------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--	---



Motivation

Inverse problem

Wave speed

Summary and conclusion

- Goal: Automated non-destructive testing and evaluation
- Solve adjoint PDE's to compute gradients
- Computationally demanding problem
- FEniCS and dolfin-adjoint make the job a lot easier
- Example: Automatic determination of wave speeds
- Outlook: Inverse scattering problems

Gradient based methods to solve inverse problems have great potential in non-destructive testing and evaluation

(but require some hard math)



Motivation

Inverse problem

Wave speed

Inverse scatterin

KU LEUVEN kulak



Automated non-destructive testing and evaluation

Steven Vandekerckhove

KU Leuven Kulak

WSC Spring Meeting, May 13th 2016, Utrecht























